arXiv:1411.4968v3 [math.RA] 12 Jul 2015

Subalgebras of the Gerstenhaber algebra of differential operators

A.V.Bratchikov
Department of Mathematics
Kuban State Technological University,
Krasnodar, 350072, Russia

Abstract

We construct a family of subalgebras of the Gerstenhaber algebra of differential operators. The subalgebras are labeled by subsets of the additive group $\mathbb{Z}^n$ that are closed under addition. Each subalgebra is invariant under the Hochschild coboundary operator.

The Gerstenhaber algebra of cochains of a commutative algebra was introduced in [1]. It is equipped with two binary operations: a cup product $\smile$ and a bracket $[\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,]$. Hochschild cocycles and coboundaries form subalgebras of the Gerstenhaber algebra. Lie algebras of vector fields are subalgebras of the Gerstenhaber bracket algebra of differential operators. These algebras play an important role in deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds [2],[3],[4].

In this paper a family of subalgebras of the Gerstenhaber algebra of differential operators is constructed. We find a commutative subalgebra that splits the Gerstenhaber algebra into a direct sum of weight subspaces. The weight subspaces are labeled by elements of the additive group $\mathbb{Z}^n$. The subalgebras are constructed as direct sums of weight subspaces. To each additive semigroup $\Delta \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ we put into correspondence a differential Gerstenhaber algebra C_Δ with the Hochshild differential. Elements of Δ define a grading of C_Δ.

As an application of these results we consider deformation quantization of $\mathbb{R}^2$. By using the Maurer-Cartan equation we show that if a Poisson bivector belongs to an algebra $(C_\Delta, [\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,])$, then higher order cochains of the corresponding star product can be chosen from an ideal of this algebra.

Let A be a space of real polynomials in x_i, $i = 1, \dots, n$, $A = \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, and let $C^p = Hom(A^p, A)$, $p \geq 0$. C^p consists of the operators of the form

$$\varphi = \sum_{a_0 a_1 \dots a_p} \varphi_{a_0 a_1 \dots a_p} x^{a_0} \partial^{a_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \partial^{a_p},$$

where $\varphi_{a_0 a_1 \dots a_p}$ are real constants, $x^a = x_1^{a^1} x_2^{a^2} \dots x_n^{a^n}$, $a = (a^1, a^2, \dots, a^n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $\mathbb{N}$ is the set of nonnegative integers, $\partial^a = \partial_1^{a^1} \partial_2^{a^2} \dots \partial_n^{a^n}$, $\partial_i = \partial / \partial x_i$. For $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p \in A$

$$\varphi(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p) = \sum_{a_0 a_1 \dots a_p} \varphi_{a_0 a_1 \dots a_p} z^{a_0} (\partial^{a_1} u_1) \dots (\partial^{a_p} u_p).$$

We set $C = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} C^p$.

The cup product and the Gerstenhaber bracket on C are given by

$$\varphi \smile \psi(u_1, \dots, u_{p+q}) = \varphi(u_1, \dots u_p) \psi(u_{p+1}, \dots u_{p+q}), \tag{1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &[\varphi, \psi](u_1, \dots, u_{p+q-1}) = \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{p} (-1)^{(k-1)(q-1)} \varphi(u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}, \psi(u_k, \dots, u_{k+q-1}), u_{k+n}, \dots, u_{p+q-1}) - \\ &- (-1)^{(p-1)(q-1)} \sum_{k=1}^{q} (-1)^{(k-1)(p-1)} \psi(u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}, \varphi(u_k, \dots, u_{k+p-1}), u_{k+p}, \dots, u_{p+q-1}), \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

for $\varphi \in C^p$, $\psi \in C^q$. The cup product is associative. The bracket is a skew multiplication satisfying the Jacobi identity, i.e. if $\varphi \in C^p$, $\psi \in C^q$, $\chi \in C^r$, then

$$[\varphi, \psi] = -(-1)^{(p+1)(q+1)} [\psi, \varphi],$$

$$(-1)^{(p+1)(r+1)} [\varphi, [\psi, \chi]] + \text{cycl. perm.} (\varphi, \psi, \chi) = 0. \tag{3}$$

Let δ denote the Hochschild coboundary operator. It is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} &\delta\varphi(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{p+1}) = u_1 \varphi(u_2, \dots, u_{u+1}) + \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{p} (-1)^k \varphi(u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}, u_k u_{k+1}, u_{k+2}, \dots, u_{p+1}) + (-1)^{p+1} \varphi(u_1, \dots, u_p) u_{p+1}. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

The differential δ can be written in terms of the bracket $[\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,]$ as

$$\delta\varphi = -[\varphi, m], \tag{5}$$

where $m(u_1, u_2)$ is the product u_1u_2 of elements $u_1, u_2 \in A$.

An algebra $(\mathcal{A}, [\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,], \delta)$ is called a differential Gerstenhaber algebra [5]. In this paper by a differential Gerstenhaber algebra we shall mean an algebra $(\mathcal{A}, \smile, [\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,], \delta)$.

Lemma 1. *If χ is a vector field, $\chi = \sum_i \chi^i(x)\partial_i$, $\varphi \in C^p$, $\psi \in C^q$, then*

$$[\chi, \varphi \smile \psi] = [\chi, \varphi] \smile \psi + \varphi \smile [\chi, \psi]. \tag{6}$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\chi, \varphi \smile \psi](u_1, \dots, u_{p+q}) = \\
&= \big(\chi\varphi(u_1, \dots, u_p)\big)\psi(u_{p+1}, \dots, u_{p+q}) + \varphi(u_1, \dots, u_p)\big(\chi\psi(u_{p+1}, \dots, u_{p+q})\big) - \\
&\qquad - \sum_{k=1}^{q+p} \varphi(u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}, \chi(u_k), u_{k+1}, \dots, u_p)\psi(u_{p+1}, \dots, u_{p+q}) = \\
&\qquad\qquad = \big([\chi, \varphi] \smile \psi\big)(u_1, \dots, u_{p+q}) + \big(\varphi \smile [\chi, \psi]\big)(u_1, \dots, u_{p+q}).
\end{aligned}$$

Vector fields $h^i = x_i\partial_i$, $i = 1, \dots n$, generate a commutative subalgebra of $(C, [\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,])$,

$$[h^i, h^j] = 0.$$

From (2) we get

$$[h^i, x^{a_0}\partial^{a_1} \otimes \partial^{a_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \partial^{a_p}] = (a_0^i - \sum_{s=1}^{p} a_s^i)x^{a_0}\partial^{a_1} \otimes \partial^{a_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \partial^{a_p}.$$

Let C_a be a subspace of C, spanned by the cochains

$$x^{a_0}\partial^{a_1} \otimes \partial^{a_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \partial^{a_p}$$

with $a_0 - \sum_{s=1}^{p} a_s = a$, $p \geq 0$.

Theorem 1. *Let $C_\Delta = \bigoplus_{a\in\Delta} C_a$, where $\Delta \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ is an additive semigroup. Then $(C_\Delta, \smile, [\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,], \delta)$ is a differential Gerstenhaber algebra.*

This result follows from the following Lemma.

Lemma 2. *If $\varphi \in C_a$, $\psi \in C_b$, then*

$$\varphi \smile \psi \in C_{a+b}, \qquad [\varphi, \psi] \in C_{a+b}, \qquad \delta\varphi \in C_a.$$

Proof. The first two statements follow from identities (3) and (6) with $\chi = h^i$. For $\varphi \in C_a$ (5) implies $\delta\varphi \in C_a$, since $m \in C_0$. □

Proposition 1. *(i) For any $r \geq 1$ $I_\Delta^{(r)} = \bigoplus_{a_1 \ldots a_r \in \Delta} C_{a_1 + \ldots + a_r}$ is an ideal of C_Δ.*

(ii) $I_\Delta^{(r)} = C_\Delta$ if and only if $\Delta + \Delta = \Delta$.

Proof. (i) follows from Lemma 2. In order to prove (ii), we observe that $\underbrace{\Delta + \ldots + \Delta}_{r} = \Delta$ if and only if $\Delta + \Delta = \Delta$. □

Proposition 1 states, in particular, that if $\Delta + \Delta \neq \Delta$, then $I_\Delta^{(r)}$, $r \geq 2$, is a nontrivial ideal of C_Δ.

Define maps $\theta_i : C \to C$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, by

$$\theta_i \varphi = (-1)^{a^i} \varphi$$

for $\varphi \in C_a$. For a multi-index $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_r)$, θ_I will stand for $\theta_{i_1} \theta_{i_2} \ldots \theta_{i_r}$. From the relation $C = \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^n} C_a$ and Lemma 2 it follows that for any $1 \leq r \leq n$, θ_I is an involutive automorphism of $(C, \smile, [\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,])$. Let

$$C_I^+ = \{\varphi \in C \,|\, \theta_I \varphi = \varphi\}, \qquad C_I^- = \{\varphi \in C \,|\, \theta_I \varphi = -\varphi\}. \tag{7}$$

The space C_I^+ can be represented as

$$C_I^+ = \bigoplus_{a \in \Theta_I} C_a,$$

where Θ_I is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^n$, defined by

$$\Theta_I = \{a \in \mathbb{Z}^n \,|\, a^{i_1} + a^{i_2} + \ldots + a^{i_r} \text{ even}\}.$$

Then $\delta C_I^+ \subset C_I^+$, by Lemma 2.

Let g be an algebra with an involutive automorphism θ. Recall that the subset

$$l = \{v \in g \,|\, \theta v = v\}$$

is a subalgebra which is called an involutive algebra (of involutive automorphism θ) [6]. The following is an immediate consequence of these results.

Proposition 2. *C_I^+ is an involutive differential Gerstenhaber algebra.*

By using (7), we get

$$C = C_I^+ \oplus C_I^-,$$

$$C_I^+ \smile C_I^+ \subset C_I^+, \qquad C_I^+ \smile C_I^- \subset C_I^-,$$
$$C_I^- \smile C_I^+ \subset C_I^-, \qquad C_I^- \smile C_I^- \subset C_I^+,$$
$$[C_I^+, C_I^+] \subset C_I^+, \qquad [C_I^+, C_I^-] \subset C_I^-, \qquad [C_I^-, C_I^-] \subset C_I^+.$$

Proposition 3. *Let* $C_H = \bigoplus_{a\in H} C_a$, $H \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$, *and let* $C = C_H \oplus L$. *Then*

$$C_H \smile L \subset L, \qquad L \smile C_H \subset L, \qquad [C_H, L] \subset L$$

if and only if H *is a subgroup of* $\mathbb{Z}^n$.

Proof. The space L is given by $L = \bigoplus_{a\in K} C_a$, $K = \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus H$. It is easily verified that the relation $H + K \subset K$ holds if and only if H is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^n$. □

Denote by C_{ab} a subspace of C, spanned by the operators

$$x^{a_0}\partial^{a_1} \otimes \partial^{a_2} \otimes \ldots \otimes \partial^{a_p}, \qquad p \geq 0,$$

with $a_0 - \sum_{s=1}^p a_s = a$, $a_0 + \sum_{s=1}^p a_s = b$. Let $\leq$ be a lexicographical ordering on $\mathbb{Z}^n$. Then $C_a = \bigoplus_{a\leq b} C_{ab}$. A lexicographical ordering on $\mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^n$ is defined as $(a, b) \leq (a', b')$ if and only if $a < a'$ or ($a = a'$ and $b \leq b'$). Let $(J, \leq)$ be an additive ordered semigroup that consists of $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^n$, $a \leq b$. Define a space S_α by

$$S_\alpha = S_{ab} = \bigoplus_{a\leq d\leq b} C_{ad}, \qquad \alpha = (a, b).$$

Proposition 4. *The set* $(S_\alpha,\ \alpha \in J)$ *is a filtration of* $(C, \smile, [\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,], \delta)$.

Proof. From (1), (2) and Lemma 2 it follows that

$$S_\alpha \smile S_\beta \subset S_{\alpha+\beta}, \qquad [S_\alpha, S_\beta] \subset S_{\alpha+\beta}, \qquad \delta S_\alpha \subset S_\alpha.$$

It is easily seen that

$$C = \bigcup_{\alpha\in J} S_\alpha, \qquad S_\alpha \subset S_\beta, \qquad \alpha < \beta.$$

Hence the result. □

This filtration can be used to define an algebra $(S, \smile, [\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,], \delta)$, that consists of the elements of the form

$$\sum_{\alpha\in J} \tau^\alpha v_\alpha,$$

where $\tau^\alpha = r^a s^b$, $r^a = r_1^{a^1} r_2^{a^2} \dots r_n^{a^n}$, $s^b = s_1^{b^1} s_2^{b^2} \dots s_n^{b^n}$, $(r_i, s_i,\ i = 1, \dots, n)$ are indeterminates, $v_\alpha \in S_\alpha$.

A star product on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is given by

$$f * g = fg + \pi(f, g),$$

where the 2-cochain $\pi = (t/2)\pi_1 + O(t^2)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, satisfies the Maurer-Cartan equation

$$\delta\pi = \frac{1}{2}[\pi, \pi]. \tag{8}$$

Here π_1 is necessarily a Poisson bivector. Let C_Δ be as in Theorem 1.

Proposition 5. *Let $\pi = (t/2)\pi_1 + \sum_{k\geq 2}^{\infty} t^k \pi_k$ be a solution to (8) in the case of $\mathbb{R}^2$. If $\pi_1 \in C_\Delta$, then π_k, $k \geq 2$, can be chosen from $I_\Delta^{(2)}$.*

Proof. Eq. (8) can be expressed by an infinite set of relations

$$\delta\pi_k = B_k, \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots, \tag{9}$$

where

$$B_k = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i+j=k} [\pi_i, \pi_j]. \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots \tag{10}$$

Assume that $\pi_i \in I_\Delta^{(2)}$, $i \leq k-1$, have been constructed. It is directly verified that $\delta B_k = 0$ [3]. Therefore, in the case of $\mathbb{R}^2$ the 3-cochain B_k is a coboundary, $B_k = \delta X_k$ [7]. This means that (9) possesses a solution. Eq. (10) implies $B_k \in I_\Delta^{(2)}$. From this and Lemma 2 it follows that $\pi_k = X_k + \Upsilon_k$, where $X_k \in I_\Delta^{(2)}$, $\Upsilon_k \in Ker\,\delta$. On $\mathbb{R}^2$ one can put $\Upsilon_k = 0$. □

References

[1] M. Gerstenhaber, The cohomology structure of an associative ring, *Annals of Math.* 78 (1963) 264-288.

[2] F. Bayen, M. Flato, C. Fronsdal, A. Lichnerovich and D. Sternheimer, Deformation theory of quantization. I. Deformation of symplectic structures, *Ann. Phys.* **111** (1978) 61-110.

[3] M. Penkava and P. Vanhaecke, Deformation quantization of polynomial Poisson algebras, *J. Algebra* **227** (2000) 365-393.

[4] M. Kontsevich, Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **66** (2003) 157-238.

[5] J. Huebschmann and J. Stasheff, Formal solution of the master equation via HPT and deformation theory, *Forum Math.* **14** (2002) 847-868.

[6] L. V. Sabinin, *Mirror geometry of Lie algebras, Lie groups and homogeneous spaces* (Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2004).

[7] S. Gutt and J. Rawnsley, Equivalence of star products on a symplectic manifold: an introduction to Deligne's Cech cohomology classes, *J.Geom.Phys.* **29** (1999) 347-392.